

Lists 1 and 2 have been combined in New Jersey's Integrated Listing because the limitations of our current data gathering efforts prevent NJDEP from being able to fulfill the information requirements of List 1, specifically we are unable to assess all designated uses throughout all the states waters due to an insufficiency of data for Total Dissolves Solids and for heavy metal levels recorded under high flow conditions (largely due to extended drought conditions). List 2 is more representative of New Jersey's assessment scenarios; hence, a hybrid category was created which New Jersey has labeled **List 1**. List 1 here represent waterbodies where one or more uses are in full support; other uses may not be assessed, or there may not be sufficient data to assess remaining uses. In contrast, waterbodies where there is insufficient data to make any attainment decisions go on List 3.

New Jersey's overall process for assigning waterbodies to the 4 listing categories is as follows.

- If any uses are determined to be in non support (or threatened), the waterbody is placed on List 5, regardless of the status of other uses.
- If any uses are determined to be in non support, however, some remediation is ongoing or a TMDL is inappropriate, the waterbody is placed on List 4; here again, regardless of the status of other uses.
- If no uses are in "nonsupport" nor in "full support", but there exists some but not enough data to assess other uses, the waterbody is placed on List 3.
- List 1 and **List 2** are combined and absorb waterbodies where one or more uses are in full support. Other uses may not be assessed, or there may not be sufficient data to assess remaining uses.

In the future, with the gradual accumulation of the needed data delineated above and also as waterways are moved off of list 3, the utility of separating List1 from List 2 will be reevaluated.